

# A Constructive Logic with Classical Proofs and Refutations

**LICS 2021**

Pablo Barenbaum<sup>1,2</sup>

Teodoro Freund<sup>1</sup>



Universidad  
Nacional  
de Quilmes

<sup>1</sup> Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales  
Universidad de Buenos Aires  
Argentina

<sup>2</sup> Universidad Nacional de Quilmes  
Argentina

# Outline

Introduction

The Logical System PRK

Kripke Semantics

Propositions as types

Conclusion

# The BHK Interpretation

( $\{\wedge, \vee, \neg\}$  fragment)

$$(A \wedge B)^+ \simeq A^+ \times B^+$$

$$(A \vee B)^+ \simeq A^+ \uplus B^+$$

$$(\neg A)^+ \simeq A^+ \Rightarrow \emptyset$$

$A^+$  = “proofs of  $A$ ”

# Nelson's Strong Negation

(Nelson, 1949)

$$(A \wedge B)^+ \simeq A^+ \times B^+$$

$$(A \wedge B)^- \simeq A^- \uplus B^-$$

$$(A \vee B)^+ \simeq A^+ \uplus B^+$$

$$(A \vee B)^- \simeq A^- \times B^-$$

$$(\neg A)^+ \simeq A^-$$

$$(\neg A)^- \simeq A^+$$

$A^+$  = “proofs of  $A$ ”

$A^-$  = “refutations of  $A$ ”

## Starting point: a BHK interpretation for classical logic

$$(A \wedge B)^+ \simeq A^\oplus \times B^\oplus$$

$$(A \wedge B)^- \simeq A^\ominus \uplus B^\ominus$$

$$(A \vee B)^+ \simeq A^\oplus \uplus B^\oplus$$

$$(A \vee B)^- \simeq A^\ominus \times B^\ominus$$

$$(\neg A)^+ \simeq A^\ominus$$

$$(\neg A)^- \simeq A^\oplus$$

$$A^\oplus \simeq A^\ominus \Rightarrow A^+$$

$$A^\ominus \simeq A^\oplus \Rightarrow A^-$$

$A^+$  = “strong proofs of  $A$ ”

$A^-$  = “strong refutations of  $A$ ”

$A^\oplus$  = “classical proofs of  $A$ ”

$A^\ominus$  = “classical refutations of  $A$ ”

# Outline

Introduction

The Logical System  $PRK$

Kripke Semantics

Propositions as types

Conclusion

# System PRK – Natural Deduction

Pure propositions  $A ::= \alpha \mid A \wedge A \mid A \vee A \mid \neg A$

Propositions	$P ::= A^+$	strong affirmation
	$\mid A^-$	strong denial
	$\mid A^\oplus$	classical affirmation
	$\mid A^\ominus$	classical denial

## Inference rules (excerpt)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A^+ \quad \Gamma \vdash A^-}{\Gamma \vdash Q} \text{ABS}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A^\ominus \quad \Gamma \vdash B^\ominus}{\Gamma \vdash (A \vee B)^-} \text{IV}^-$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A^\ominus \vdash A^+}{\Gamma \vdash A^\oplus} \text{IC}^+$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A^\oplus \quad \Gamma \vdash A^\ominus}{\Gamma \vdash A^+} \text{EC}^+$$

# System PRK – Natural Deduction

## Conservative extension

$\vdash A$  holds classically    if and only if     $\vdash A^\oplus$  holds in PRK

## Strong propositions behave constructively

The **classical** excluded middle  $\vdash (A \vee \neg A)^\oplus$  always holds.

The **strong** excluded middle  $\vdash (A \vee \neg A)^+$  does not hold in general.



# Outline

Introduction

The Logical System PRK

**Kripke Semantics**

Propositions as types

Conclusion

# Kripke Semantics

A Kripke model for PRK is a structure  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{W}, \leq, \mathcal{V}^+, \mathcal{V}^-)$ .  
(Enjoying appropriate technical conditions).

## Forcing (excerpt)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}, w \Vdash \alpha^+ &\iff \alpha \in \mathcal{V}_w^+ \\ \mathcal{M}, w \Vdash \alpha^- &\iff \alpha \in \mathcal{V}_w^- \\ \mathcal{M}, w \Vdash (A \vee B)^- &\iff \mathcal{M}, w \Vdash A^\ominus \text{ and } \mathcal{M}, w \Vdash B^\ominus \\ \mathcal{M}, w \Vdash A^\oplus &\iff \mathcal{M}, w' \not\Vdash A^- \text{ for all } w' \geq w\end{aligned}$$

## Theorem (Soundness and Completeness)

$$\Gamma \vdash P \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Gamma \Vdash P$$

# Outline

Introduction

The Logical System  $PRK$

Kripke Semantics

**Propositions as types**

Conclusion

# The calculus $\lambda^{\text{PRK}}$

Type system (excerpt)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A^+ \quad \Gamma \vdash s : A^-}{\Gamma \vdash t \blacktriangleright s : Q} \text{ABS}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A^\ominus \quad \Gamma \vdash s : B^\ominus}{\Gamma \vdash \langle t, s \rangle^- : (A \vee B)^-} \text{IV}^-$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A^\ominus \vdash t : A^+}{\Gamma \vdash \text{IC}_x^+. t : A^\oplus} \text{IC}^+$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A^\oplus \quad \Gamma \vdash s : A^\ominus}{\Gamma \vdash t \bullet^+ s : A^+} \text{EC}^+$$

# The calculus $\lambda^{\text{PRK}}$

$\lambda^{\text{PRK}}$  is provided with a notion of reduction with good properties:

## Theorem – Subject Reduction

If  $\Gamma \vdash t : P$  and  $t \rightarrow s$  then  $\Gamma \vdash s : P$ .

## Theorem

$\lambda^{\text{PRK}}$  is confluent and strongly normalizing.

The proof of strong normalization relies on a translation to System F with Mendler-style recursion.

The embedding of classical logic into PRK has good computational behavior. For example:

$$\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}}(\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle^{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow^* t_i$$

# Outline

Introduction

The Logical System  $PRK$

Kripke Semantics

Propositions as types

Conclusion

# Contributions

- ▶ We studied an extension of the BHK interpretation.

**Key idea:**  $A^\oplus \simeq A^\ominus \Rightarrow A^+$

*A classical proof of  $A$  is a transformation that converts classical refutations of  $A$  into strong proofs of  $A$ .*

# Contributions

- ▶ We studied an extension of the BHK interpretation.

**Key idea:**  $A^\oplus \simeq A^\ominus \Rightarrow A^+$

*A classical proof of  $A$  is a transformation that converts classical refutations of  $A$  into strong proofs of  $A$ .*

- ▶ This interpretation motivates the logical system PRK.  
PRK is a **conservative extension** of classical logic.



# Contributions

- ▶ We studied an extension of the BHK interpretation.

**Key idea:**  $A^\oplus \simeq A^\ominus \Rightarrow A^+$

*A classical proof of  $A$  is a transformation that converts classical refutations of  $A$  into strong proofs of  $A$ .*

- ▶ This interpretation motivates the logical system PRK.

PRK is a **conservative extension** of classical logic.

- ▶ **Kripke semantics.**

PRK is sound and complete w.r.t. a notion of Kripke model.

# Contributions

- ▶ We studied an extension of the BHK interpretation.

**Key idea:**  $A^\oplus \simeq A^\ominus \Rightarrow A^+$

*A classical proof of  $A$  is a transformation that converts classical refutations of  $A$  into strong proofs of  $A$ .*

- ▶ This interpretation motivates the logical system PRK.  
PRK is a **conservative extension** of classical logic.

- ▶ **Kripke semantics.**

PRK is sound and complete w.r.t. a notion of Kripke model.

- ▶ **Propositions-as-types.**

PRK corresponds to a confluent and terminating calculus  $\lambda^{\text{PRK}}$ .

# Contributions

- ▶ We studied an extension of the BHK interpretation.

**Key idea:**  $A^\oplus \simeq A^\ominus \Rightarrow A^+$

*A classical proof of  $A$  is a transformation that converts classical refutations of  $A$  into strong proofs of  $A$ .*

- ▶ This interpretation motivates the logical system PRK.  
PRK is a **conservative extension** of classical logic.

- ▶ **Kripke semantics.**

PRK is sound and complete w.r.t. a notion of Kripke model.

- ▶ **Propositions-as-types.**

PRK corresponds to a confluent and terminating calculus  $\lambda^{\text{PRK}}$ .

- ▶  $\lambda^{\text{PRK}}$  is thus a **computational interpretation** of classical logic.  
There are many other ones—e.g. by Griffin, Parigot, Barbanera–Berardi, Curien–Herbelin, Krivine, . . .

## Future Work

- ▶ Extend PRK to second-order logic and dependent types.